Trace metals partitioning among different sedimentary mineral phases and the deposit-feeding polychaete \textit{Armandia brevis}
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HIGHLIGHTS

• A positive relationship was found between HCl and pyrite trace metal concentrations
• Incorporation of trace metals into pyrite is a function of their solubility product
• Every year 98 metric tons of Fe are precipitated as pyrite in Ensenada Harbor
• Dredging operations can export as much as (0.30 ± 0.56) × 10\textsuperscript{3} metric tons of Cu
• Trace metals in \textit{Armandia brevis} correlated with sedimentary reactive trace metals

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

Trace metals (Cd, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Zn) were determined in two operationally defined fractions (HCl and pyrite) in sediments from Ensenada and El Sauzal harbors (Mexico). The HCl fraction had significantly higher metal concentrations relative to the pyrite fraction in both harbors, underlining the weak tendency of most trace metals to associate with pyrite. Exceptionally, Cu was highly pyritized, with degrees of trace metal pyritization (DTMP) > 80\% in both harbors. Dissolved Fe flux measurements combined with solid phase Fe sulfide data indicated that 98 mt of Fe are precipitated as iron sulfides every year in Ensenada Harbor. These Fe sulfides (and associated trace metals) will remain preserved in the sediments, unless they are perturbed by dredging or sediment resuspension. Calculations indicate that dredging activities could export to the open ocean 0.20 ± 0.13 to (0.30 ± 0.56) × 10\textsuperscript{3} mt of Cd and Cu, respectively, creating a potential threat to marine benthic organisms.

Degrees of pyritization (DOP) values in Ensenada and El Sauzal harbors were relatively low (<25\%) while degrees of sulfidization (DOS) were high (~50\%) because of the contribution of acid volatile sulfide. DOP values correlated with DTMP values (\(p \leq 0.001\)), indicating that metals are gradually incorporated into pyrite as this mineral is formed. Significant correlations were also found between DTMP values and \(-\log(K_{sp(MeS)}/K_{sp(pyr)})\) for both harbors, indicating that incorporation of trace metals into the pyrite phase is a function of the solubility product of the corresponding metal sulfide. The order in which elements were pyritized in both harbors was \(Zn \approx Mn < Fe < Cd = Pb < Ni \approx Co < Cu\). Lastly, a strong correlation (\(r^2 = 0.87, p < 0.01\)) was found between average reactive trace metal concentrations and metal concentrations measured in \textit{Armandia brevis} (a deposit-
1. Introduction

Commercial harbors are often impacted by contaminants from industrial, urban and shipping activities. Among such contaminants, trace metals can be present in high concentrations in sediments where they can be accumulated by burrowing animals and be toxic to them (Luoma and Rainbow, 2008). Furthermore, they can be transferred along food chains thereby affecting fish and their predators, including humans (Hare, 1992). Given this potential for contaminating marine ecosystems, it is important to measure the geochemistry of sedimentary trace metals, so as to estimate their bioavailability (Luoma and Rainbow, 2008). Predictions of bioavailability can then be tested by measuring these contaminants in invertebrates feeding on and burrowing in sediments (Phillips and Rainbow, 1993).

Sediments tend to be sinks for trace metals in coastal marine environments (Díaz-de-Alba et al., 2011; Sarkar et al., 2014). Sediment contamination is of special concern in harbor areas, which are low-energy environments characterized by the presence of high metal inputs from marinas, boat maintenance, fish markets, shipping activities and industrial, storm and urban discharges (Huerta-Diaz et al., 2008). Additionally, alteration of the original morphology due to the construction of new infrastructure creates areas of low hydrodynamic energy, where sediments and associated contaminants can accumulate (Bocchetti et al., 2008; Montero et al., 2013). Most importantly, harbors are zones that are regularly dredged in order to keep them open for ship navigation, disrupting in the process redox conditions, and remobilizing metals from the sediments, which can then become available to marine organisms (Eggleton and Thomas, 2004). Thus, an accurate assessment and management of contaminated sediments from harbors is required (Bocchetti et al., 2008).

It is generally accepted that the determination of total metal concentrations in sediments is not predictive of their mobility in the environment, their availability to burrowing animals, or their capacity to form other mineral phases. Metal uptake by benthic animals depends on the metal species present in sediments (Flores-Rodríguez et al., 1994; Wang et al., 1999; Rainbow, 2002), the burrowing and feeding behavior of the animals (Michaud et al., 2005; Martin et al., 2008) as well as their gut chemistry (Chen et al., 2000; Michaud et al., 2005). Hence, solid phase speciation influences metal accumulation by sediment-dwelling animals and thus the potential for toxic effects (Gonzalez et al., 2009). Trace metals in uncontaminated sediments are relatively immobile because they are bound mainly to silicates and primary minerals (e.g., feldspars, micas, quartz), whereas in highly contaminated sediments trace elements are generally more labile and, therefore, likely to be more bioavailable. A number of extraction procedures have been developed to determine the reactivity of sedimentary trace elements (e.g., Sahuquillo et al., 2003). Thus Huerta-Diaz and Morse (1990) developed a chemical sequential extraction scheme to separate trace metals associated with three operationally defined fractions, which will be henceforth named (e.g., Huerta-Diaz et al., 2011, 2014) HCl (metals nominally associated with carbonates, Fe and Mn oxyhydroxides and acid volatile sulfide or AVS), silicate (mainly clays, which react little during early diagenesis) and pyrite (mainly metals associated with this mineral phase). Hence, measurements of trace elements associated with the HCl and pyrite fractions are useful for estimating the amounts of reactive trace metals that can be released into the environment subsequent to a change in redox conditions.

This study was carried out in the reduced sediments of two harbors (Ensenada and El Sauzal) located on the Pacific coast of Baja California, Mexico. The main objectives of this study were to: (1) measure the concentrations of various trace metals (Cd, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Zn) associated with the so-called reactive fraction (RF = HCl + pyrite) so as to evaluate the potential for trace element transfer from these sediments to the overlying water column during dredging activities; (2) calculate the degrees of pyritization (DTMP) of the various trace metals so as to determine the extent of association to sedimentary pyrite, which is unstable under oxic conditions; (3) elucidate the formation mechanisms and extent of diagenetic pyrite accumulation in the sediments of the two harbors; (4) quantify the benthic fluxes of dissolved Fe in Ensenada Harbor; and (5) evaluate relationships between the concentrations of trace elements associated with pyrite and reactive fractions and those in Armandia brevis Moore, 1906, a deposit-feeding opheliid polychaete. We chose this annelid as a biomonitor because it is exposed to sedimentary metals both through the ingestion of sediment and during its burrowing activity (Meador and Rice, 2001).

2. Description of the study areas

Todos Santos Bay (TSB) is located in the Pacific coast of the Baja California Peninsula, Mexico (Fig. 1a), approximately 100 km south of the US–Mexico border (31° 40′ S; 116° 36′ W). This bay is a major fishing area in Mexico, as well as being an important site for tourism, boat repair and maintenance, the culture of mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis) and abalone (Haliotis sp.) (Curiel-Ramírez and Cáceres-Martínez, 2010), and intense shipping activity originating in Ensenada and El Sauzal Harbors (Fig. 1). The bay also receives seasonal water discharges during the rainy season (winter) from Ensenada Creek (Fig. 1d) and from municipal wastewater discharges all year round (Muñoz-Arriola, 1994; Muñoz-Barbosa et al., 2012). Despite these activities, trace metals are generally present in high concentrations only in the area encompassed by Ensenada harbor, where total metal concentrations can be 1.1 (Zn) to 14 (Cu) times the average concentration in TSB (Romero-Vargas-Márquez, 1995). Metal concentrations in the harbors reach levels that can be toxic to the biota (Romero-Vargas-Márquez, 1995; Muñoz-Barbosa et al., 2012). Prior to 2005, large quantities of contaminated sediments were transferred from these harbors to TSB during the dredging of harbor sediments that were routinely dumped in TSB (Carreón-Martínez et al., 2001). After this year, new legislation mandated that dredged sediments should be released outside of the bay. Hence, it is important to estimate the amounts of reactive trace metals that have been transferred into and outside of the bay through the discharge of dredged materials from Ensenada and El Sauzal harbors.

Ensenada Harbor covers 1.95 km². Water depths range from 1.5 to 11 m, with the deepest zones located close to the loading and terminal docks as well as in the main navigation channel. Metal concentrations in harbor sediments tend to be high due to: (a) the high proportion of fine-grained sediments, which gives a high surface area to volume that is favorable for trace metal binding, (b) discharges from a nearby fish market and from marine vessels usually docked at the port and marina areas, (c) seasonal urban runoff from the city of Ensenada through Ensenada Creek during the winter rainy season (Fig. 1d), and (d) inputs from ship repair and maintenance activities that release antifouling agents and residues from sand blasting operations.

El Sauzal Harbor, located 10 km north of Ensenada in the town of El Sauzal de Rodríguez, covers an area of 0.15 km² and has water depths ranging from 1 to 9 m, with the maximum depths located in the main navigation channel (Flores-Vidal et al., 2005; Fig. 1c). This harbor receives blood and organic wastes from docked fishing boats and dust generated during the offloading of cement (Huerta-Diaz et al., 2008).
3. Methodology

All material used in the collection and processing of samples was washed with phosphate-free soap, rinsed three times with distilled water, soaked in a 5% HCl bath for 24 h, and then rinsed another three times with deionized water (Milli-Q grade). Materials were dried at room temperature inside a laminar flow hood and all reagents used in this work were reagent grade or better.

3.1. Sample collection

All sediment cores were collected by a diver using polycarbonate plastic core liners (7.2 cm internal diameter, 60 cm in length) that were pushed into the sediment, retrieved and capped underwater. Once on board, the caps of the core liner were sealed with electrical tape and transported to the shore where the sediment was extruded and sectioned into 1-cm intervals with a plastic spatula. Each section...
was then placed in a 50-mL centrifuge polypropylene tube and stored at −20 °C until analysis. Seven cores were collected in Ensenada Harbor and four in El Sauzal Harbor (Fig. 1). In Ensenada Harbor, one core (0E) was collected on November 2, 1998, at ~6 m water depth (Carreón-Martínez et al., 2001), in the dredged channel, near the mouth of Ensenada Creek and the future site of a cruise-ship terminal. The other six cores from Ensenada Harbor (cores 1E to 6E; Fig. 1d) were collected on January 8, 2002, in ~9 m water depth underneath the docks, where sediments are least affected by resuspension and dredging activities. The sediments were generally black in color all along the length of the cores and smelled strongly of H2S, with the oxidized portion near the sediment–water interface generally absent, indicating the presence of reducing conditions and large amounts of Fe monosulfides. Sediment cores from El Sauzal Harbor (cores 1S to 4S; Fig. 1c) were collected on September 29, 2004 at water depths ranging from 6 to 9 m. Note that the 10−13 cm sediment interval in core 2S consisted almost entirely of fish scales.

Porewater samples were extracted from each of the 43 sediment sections of core 0E using a mechanical extruder placed inside a glove bag under nitrogen (N2) atmosphere to avoid precipitation of the reduced Fe and Mn dissolved in the anoxic portion of the porewaters. The glove bag was purged with N2 at least three times before extruding the sediment, and a continuous flow of this gas was kept throughout the extruding process to keep a positive N2 atmosphere within the glove bag. Before sediment extrusion, a water sample was withdrawn from ~0.5 cm above the sediment–water interface, using Tygon tubing. The sediment core was then sliced at 1-cm intervals and each sample was placed in a 50-mL centrifuge tube, capped and sealed with electrical tape. Next, the glove bag was opened and the sealed tubes were centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 30 min. The centrifuged tubes and an equal number of 30−mL plastic bottles containing 20 μL of concentrated HCl were placed inside the glove bag, and the purging process was repeated once more before opening the sealed tubes. The porewater was then poured into plastic syringes fitted with acid-cleaned 0.45 μm polyethylene-encapsulated Teflon cellulos membrane filters (25 cm diameter), and the filtrate was placed in the pre-acidified polypropylene bottles. Once placed in these bottles, the glove bag was opened and the porewater samples were stored at −20 °C until analyzed.

To obtain the polychaete A. brevis, sediment was collected using an Ekman grab at each sampling site in Ensenada Harbor. The grab’s contents were sieved through a 0.5 mm mesh-aperture net and the residuum was put in plastic bags containing seawater for transport to the laboratory where A. brevis were removed by hand and their identification confirmed using a dissecting microscope. Worms were held in seawater for ~12 h to deputate their gut contents (verified by visual inspection), then 5−7 individuals were placed in a 10 mL high-density polyethylene bottle for storage prior to freezing at −20 °C. Five such samples were prepared for each sampling site.

3.2. Sequential extraction of sediment samples and trace metal analysis

Sediment samples were subjected to a chemical sequential extraction technique in which three operationally defined fractions were obtained: HCl, silicate and pyrite (Huerta-Diaz and Morse, 1990). A predetermined amount of homogenized wet sediment equivalent to 2.5 g dry weight, which was previously calculated from the percentage by weight of water and solid for each sample, was used in the digestion procedure. The extraction method consists of the sequential digestion, at room temperature, of the sediment sample with 20 mL of 1 N HCl for 16 h (HCl fraction, which includes trace metals associated with carbonate minerals, Fe and Mn oxyhydroxides and AVS). Afterwards, the silicate fraction was obtained with two successive extractions using 30 mL of 10 M HF for 1 and 16 h, respectively. Lastly, the residue from the previous extraction was digested for 1 h in 10 mL of concentrated HNO3 (pyrite fraction, which includes trace metals associated with this mineral phase). The silicate fraction was discarded since it contains metals that are considered to be non-reactive during the process of early diagenesis (Huerta-Diaz and Morse, 1992). The sum of the HCl and pyrite fractions will be henceforth denominated as the reactive or labile fraction (e.g., Huerta-Diaz et al., 2011, 2014), which includes trace metals that can be dissolved, transformed into other mineral phases or incorporated into the marine biota.

The extraction method of Huerta-Diaz and Morse (1990) has been shown to successfully extract metals associated with pyrite in sediments with organic carbon contents <3%. In sediments with organic carbon contents >3%, the pyrite fraction may include trace metals associated with this mineral phase as well as with organic matter. However, this limitation does not apply to Fe, since this metal is not associated to a significant extent with sedimentary organic matter. The organic carbon contents of all collected cores were <3%, except core 2S from El Sauzal Harbor that contained up to 6.7% organic matter (Huerta-Diaz et al., 2008). Thus, trace metal results associated with pyrite for core 2S should be interpreted with caution because some of the metals could have been associated with organic matter.

Trace metal concentrations (Cd, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Zn) were measured with a Thermo Jarrel Ash model Smith Hieftje 12 or SpectraAA 220 Fast Sequential flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Detection limits (for the HCl and pyrite fractions, respectively), calculated as three times the standard deviation of the procedural blanks (in nmol g−1), were: Cd (0.8, 0.7), Co (20, 18), Cu (2.6, 3.2), Fe (7.4, 13.6), Mn (7.2, 9.3), Ni (14, 13), Pb (2.8, 4.1) and Zn (3.4, 2.1). Blanks were routinely analyzed and included in each batch of samples, and they were found to be always below their respective detection limits. Although there is no Certified Reference Material (CRM) available to verify the accuracy of the HCl and pyrite extractions, Huerta-Diaz and Morse (1990) performed an extensive validation of the method that demonstrated quantitative extraction of metals in these two fractions.

AVS analyses were carried out by placing from 0.1 to 0.5 g of wet sediment in a digestion vessel that was then immediately capped with a rubber stopper with three ports: one where N2 carrier gas entered, the second where the N2 carrying the hydrogen sulfide (H2S) gas exited, and a third port where the digestion solution was introduced into the flask. The system and the digestion solution were then purged of oxygen using purified N2 gas. Next, 20 mL of a digestion solution made of N2 purged 1 N HCl were introduced into the digestion vessel through the appropriate port and bubbled with N2. The digestion vessel was then shaken for 2 h with constant N2 bubbling through one of the ports. The H2S gas that evolved from the decomposition of the AVS was trapped as ZnS in a 100 mL volumetric flask filled with 8 mL of 0.27 M Zn acetate solution and 56 mL of distilled water. After 2 h, the digestion was stopped and 8 mL of Cline’s reagent (Cline, 1969) were added to the volumetric trapping flasks, followed by addition of enough 6 N HCl to bring the volume of the solution to 100 mL. The concentrations of the resulting methylene blue solutions were determined colorimetrically at 670 nm with a 1-cm cell using a Varian Cary 50 UV–Vis spectrophotometer. The standards for the calibration curve were prepared from a 0.08 M sodium sulfide stock solution which was then diluted by 1/10 after adding 200 μL of NaOH to the new solution. This diluted solution was then used to prepare a series of calibration standards. Water used for all stock and standard solutions was previously purged with N2. The AVS concentration, on a dry weight basis, was calculated from the percentage of water in the sample. The detection limit of this method was 0.04 μM, equivalent to approximately 4 nmol AVS per gram of dry sediment, with a precision of ±3 % at the 95% confidence level. The Fe concentrations associated with the AVS (FeAVS) were calculated by assuming that the AVS is composed entirely of FeS.

3.3. Dissolved Fe2+ and sulfate analysis in pore water

Dissolved Fe2+ concentrations were determined using the ferrozine colorimetric technique described by Stookey (1970) and Gibbs (1979).
which, briefly, consists of adding the ferrozine complexing agent to water and pore water samples in the presence of the reducing agent hydroxylamine hydrochloride. The Fe-complex formed was analyzed spectrophotometrically at 562 nm using a Varian Cary 50 UV–Vis spectrophotometer.

Porewater sulfate concentrations were measured using the gravimetric method of Howarth (1978), which consists of the addition of BaCl$_2$ to the sample and the subsequent precipitation of the dissolved sulfate as BaSO$_4$. The BaSO$_4$ is then removed by filtration and weighed in order to calculate the sulfate concentration in porewater. This method has a detection limit of approximately 0.3 mM. Sulfate recoveries using Copenhagen seawater with a known salinity, were 110 ± 5% (n = 3).

3.4. Metal analyses in A. brevis

Frozen samples of A. brevis were freeze-dried (FTS Systems), weighed on a micro-balance (Mettler ME30) and digested in concentrated, Aristar grade, HNO$_3$ (100 μL mg$^{-1}$ dry weight (d.w.) at room

**Fig. 2.** Sediment profiles of metals associated to HCl and pyrite fractions for each core collected at Ensenada Harbor. Gray circle symbols represent metal concentrations which were below their corresponding detection limit.
temperature in 4-mL HDPE vials for 7 d. Hydrogen peroxide (40 μL mg⁻¹ d.w.) was added 24 h prior to final dilution with ultrapure water (760 μL mg⁻¹ d.w.). We submitted samples of similar weight of a certified reference material (lobster hepatopancreas, TORT-1, National Research Council of Canada) to the same digestion procedure during each run. Trace metals were measured by flameless AAS (Varian Spectra AA-30). Trace metal concentrations measured for TORT-1 were within the certified ranges and the recovery of trace metals in spiked samples was within 10% of the amount added.

3.5. Data analysis

The degree of pyritization (DOP) of sediments (Berner, 1970) was used to evaluate the amount of Fe present as pyrite relative to the "reactive Fe" (Fe_reac = Fe_HCl + Fe_pyr). The DOP was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{DOP} \% = \frac{\text{Fe}_{\text{pyr}}}{\text{Fe}_{\text{HCl}} + \text{Fe}_{\text{pyr}}} \times 100 = \frac{\text{Fe}_{\text{pyr}}}{\text{Fe}_{\text{reac}}} \times 100
\]

(1)

where the terms Fe_HCl, Fe_pyr and Fe_reac represent the concentrations of Fe in the HCl, pyrite and reactive fractions, respectively. Similar to the DOP, the degree of sulfidization (DOS) represents the percentage of reactive Fe that is bound to sulfides and was calculated using the equation (Boesen and Postma, 1988):

\[
\text{DOS} \% = \frac{\text{Fe}_{\text{pyr}} + \text{Fe}_{\text{AVS}}}{\text{Fe}_{\text{HCl}} + \text{Fe}_{\text{pyr}}} \times 100 = \frac{\text{Fe}_{\text{pyr}} + \text{Fe}_{\text{AVS}}}{\text{Fe}_{\text{reac}}} \times 100
\]

(2)
The concept of degree of trace metal pyritization (DTMP) was introduced by Huerta-Diaz and Morse (1990) as a measure of the amount of metal (Me) associated with pyrite (Me_{pyr}) relative to “reactive Me” (Me_{Reac} = Me_{HCl} + Me_{pyr}). The DTMP can be calculated using the following equation:

$$ DTMP(\%) = \frac{Me_{pyr}}{Me_{HCl} + Me_{pyr}} \times 100 = \frac{Me_{pyr}}{Me_{Reac}} \times 100 $$

(3)

where the term $Me_{HCl}$ represents the concentration of a given metal in the HCl fraction. Due to the higher organic carbon contents in the sediments from core 2S, our DTMP values may be higher than the actual ones, since DTMP calculations involve the use of only those concentrations associated to pyrite.

Statistical analyses were performed using the STATISTICA 7 Software package (STATSOFT 2004, Inc., USA). Normality of distribution and homogeneity of the data were tested by means of the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test for normality and by the Laven and Brown and the Forsythe tests for homogeneity. These tests showed that our data were neither normally distributed nor homogeneous, even after converting them using five different transformations ($\log(1 + x)$, $1/(1 + x)$, $x^2$, $x^{1/2}$ and $\ln(x)$). Consequently, non-parametric tests were performed. Significant differences among harbors, cores and fractions were tested by Kruskal–Wallis Rank test ($p < 0.05$) and multiple comparison tests.
whereas correlations among the data were calculated by the Spearman’s Rank correlation ($p < 0.05$ and $p \leq 0.001$) to determine the behavioral similarities among the different trace metals.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. HCl, pyrite, and reactive fractions in Ensenada and El Sauzal Harbors

Profiles for the HCl and pyrite fractions in Ensenada Harbor (Fig. 2) were somewhat variable, with some metals (e.g., Cd$_{pyr}$, Co$_{pyr}$, Fe$_{pyr}$, Mn$_{HCl}$, Mn$_{pyr}$, Ni$_{pyr}$, Pb$_{HCl}$) showing slight concentration increases with depth, while others remained more or less constant, with their values changing around an average concentration (e.g., Cd$_{HCl}$, Co$_{HCl}$, Fe$_{HCl}$, Ni$_{HCl}$, Zn$_{HCl}$, Zn$_{pyr}$). Anomalous values were observed at 5.5 cm depth in core 1E for Cu$_{HCl}$ and, to a certain extent, also for Fe$_{HCl}$, Ni$_{HCl}$ and Zn$_{HCl}$ (36.9, 559, 0.194 and 21.5 $\mu$mol $g^{-1}$, respectively), probably produced by mine wastes from a copper mine complex located in Santa Rosalia (Baja California Sur, Mexico) that were used for sandblasting ships. It is interesting to note that our 5.5 cm peaks for Cu and Zn were also reported for total concentrations at the same sediment depth by Huerta-Diaz et al. (2008). Sediments were anoxic-sulfidic up to the sediment–water interface, as indicated by the strong H$_2$S smell of most of the collected sediments and by the absence of significant trace metal enrichments in the HCl fraction (where Fe oxyhydroxides are normally extracted) close to the sediment–water interface. Hence, it is unlikely that near-surface values were influenced by bioturbation processes since H$_2$S is toxic to invertebrates. Sediment patterns in El Sauzal Harbor for the HCl and pyrite fractions (Fig. 3) were more homogeneous, with
concentrations remaining more or less constant or decreasing slightly with depth, except for Mn which increased below 15.5 cm for cores 3S and 4S, probably because of its precipitation as MnCO₃. Peaks of maximum concentration for Pb in the HCl and pyrite fractions were observed at a depth of 10.5 cm (0.171 and 0.092 μmol g⁻¹, respectively) for core 4S. Similarly to the Ensenada Harbor sediments, no significant trace metal enrichments were observed close to the sediment–water interface suggesting that the cores were also anoxic up to the sediment–water interface.

Overall average metal concentrations in the HCl and pyrite fractions in both harbors (Tables A1 and A2, respectively), showed a wide range of values, with the highest ones corresponding to the HCl fraction (range of 0.0018 ± 0.0004 to 190 ± 81 μmol g⁻¹ for Cd and Fe, respectively) for all metals except Cu, which tended to accumulate in the pyrite fraction (range of 0.0046 ± 0.0009 to 50 ± 23 μmol g⁻¹ for Cd and Fe, respectively). In fact, statistical analysis revealed significant differences (p < 0.05) between both fractions for all the analyzed metals in the two harbors, with the exception of Co in El Sauzal Harbor. Overall, metal concentrations in the Ensenada Harbors (Tables A1 and A2) indicated similar ranks for the HCl (Fe > Mn > Zn > Cu > Pb > Ni > Cd) and pyrite (Fe > Cu > Zn > Mn > Cu > Pb > Ni > Cd) fractions. A similar trend was observed for El Sauzal Harbors (Tables A1 and A2), where the ranks were also similar for the HCl (Fe > Zn > Mn > Cu > Pb > Ni > Cd) and pyrite (Fe > Cu > Mn > Cu > Ni > Pb > Cd) fractions. Average [all cores combined] reactive trace metal concentrations increased in the order Cd < Ni < Pb < Cu < Fe and Zn < Mn < Ni < Fe in Ensenada Harbor (n = 182), whereas in El Sauzal Harbor (n = 74) the order was Cd < Pb < Cu < Ni < Cu < Mn < Zn = Fe.

Comparison of our average trace metal concentrations in the HCl fraction with values reported from different selected geographical locations (Fig. 4) showed that Cd in both harbors and Pb in El Sauzal Harbor had concentrations that were located approximately in the middle of the range of the selected values. Iron and Zn for both harbors and Co, Cu, and Pb for Ensenada Harbor, however, presented concentrations that were among the highest shown for the selected locations. Only Mn and Ni for both harbors and Co and Cu for El Sauzal Harbor showed low values relative to the selected locations. The low values of Mn in the HCl fraction can be ascribed to the impoverishment of Mn oxides (which are included in this fraction) produced by their reductive dissolution, the subsequent release of the reduced Mn²⁺ to the water column by diffusive processes, and to their slow kinetics of precipitation (Yates and Buyers, 1985; Davies and Morgan, 1989). The low values of Ni in the HCl fraction tend to support this hypothesis, since a close association of this element with Mn oxides has been previously reported (e.g., Laslett and Balls, 1995; Sahudo-Wilhelmy and Gill, 1999; Hatje et al., 2003).

To appreciate the concentration differences between both harbors and between the different cores, results for the HCl and pyrite fractions are shown in the form of Box–Whisker plots (Fig. 5). According to this figure, the concentrations of most metals in the HCl and pyrite fractions were higher in sediments from Ensenada Harbor compared to those from El Sauzal Harbor, except for Cd and Zn, whose concentrations in the HCl fraction were similar in both harbors. According to a Kruskal–Wallis Rank test, significant differences (p < 0.05) were found between the two harbors for all metals in both fractions, except for Cd and Zn in the HCl fraction. These results indicate that the Ensenada Harbor is, on average, more enriched in reactive trace metals, probably because this harbor is approximately 30 years older than El Sauzal Harbor and, therefore, has had more time to accumulate trace metals in the reactive fraction. No spatial trends were found among the various sampling sites in Ensenada or El Sauzal Harbors, except for Fe, Mn and Zn, which showed a decrease in concentration from the inner part of the Ensenada Harbor towards its mouth. In fact, statistical analysis showed significant differences for these metals between core 5E (located at the mouth and, therefore, under conditions of higher water oxygenation and recirculation rates) and cores 1E, 2E and 3E (located in the inner part of the harbor; Fig. 1). Moreover, there were also significant differences between core 0E and the rest of the cores for all metals except Co. These differences can be due to the location of core 0E, which was collected inside the dredged channel and close to the mouth of Ensenada Creek. The continuous ship traffic and dredging operations probably produced sediment resuspension, which is likely to alter the distribution of some key sediment components, such as nutrients and carbon (Nayar et al., 2007). Additionally, the occasional particulate and dissolved contributions from the seasonal stream could also influence the distribution and levels of these metals in core 0E.

Our results indicate that there is a very strong dependency between metals associated with the pyrite fraction and those associated with the HCl fraction, as expressed by the very significant relationship (p ≤ 0.001, r² = 0.80, n = 16) found between the average values of metals associated with the HCl and pyrite fractions in all cores from both harbors:

\[ \log(M_{pyr}) = 0.78 ± 0.10 \log(M_{HCl}) - 0.45 ± 0.14. \]

It is important to note that most of the data points were located within the 95% confidence level of the linear regression curve (dashed lines in Fig. 6). These results indicate that the higher the concentration of a given metal in the HCl fraction, the higher its concentration will be in the pyrite fraction, a behavior that highlights the dependency of the abundance of trace metals in pyrite on those in the HCl fraction. Similar relationships have been previously reported for sediments of the Pacific Coast of Baja California, Gulf of Mexico (Nava-López and Huerta-Díaz, 2001), and the mining port of Santa Rosalia in Baja California Sur (Huerta-Díaz et al., 2014).

Individual relationships were found between metals in both fractions, percentage of grain size <62.5 μm (%GS; data taken from Huerta-Díaz et al., 2008) and organic carbon (Corg; data taken from Huerta-Díaz et al., 2008) for both fractions and for both harbors. A Spearman correlation matrix (Table S3) showed that a high percentage of the correlations (76% and 83% for Ensenada and El Sauzal Harbors, respectively) were significant (p ≤ 0.05 or p ≤ 0.001). These results suggest that similar processes are involved in the incorporation of trace metals into these two fractions, but especially into pyrite since a higher percentage of significant correlations were ascribed to this fraction (91% for both harbors) rather than to the HCl fraction (61% and 75% for Ensenada and El Sauzal Harbors, respectively). Significant (p ≤ 0.001) positive correlations were also found between %GS and a number of trace metals for Ensenada (Cdpyr, CoHCl, CuHCl, FeHCl, FeHCl, MnHCl, NiHCl, PbHCl, Pbpyr, ZnHCl, Znpyr) and El Sauzal (CdHCl, Cdpyr, CoHCl, CuHCl, FeHCl, FeHCl, MnHCl, NiHCl, PbHCl, Pbpyr, ZnHCl, Znpyr) Harbors (Table S3), suggesting that grain size is an important factor controlling the concentrations of these metals. Furthermore, the highly significant correlations (p ≤ 0.001) found between Corg and a number of trace elements (Table S3) suggest that organic matter diagenetic processes also play an important role in controlling the behavior of several metals in Ensenada (Cdpyr, CoHCl, CuHCl, FeHCl, MnHCl, NiHCl, PbHCl, ZnHCl, Znpyr) and El Sauzal (CdHCl, Cdpyr, CoHCl, CuHCl, FeHCl, FeHCl, MnHCl, Mnpyr, NiHCl, NiHCl, PbHCl, Pbpyr, ZnHCl, Znpyr) Harbors.
Average percentages of the reactive fraction with respect to total metal concentrations (the latter measured by Huerta-Diaz et al., 2008) were calculated for each sediment core in both harbors to assess the relative contribution of the reactive trace metals. Results, shown in Table 1, indicate that the highest percentage contributions in Ensenada harbor generally corresponded to cores 0E, 1E and 5E, whereas core 2S was the one presenting the highest percentages in El Sauzal harbor. Assuming that the differences between total and reactive trace metal concentrations corresponded to the silicate fraction, which can be considered as unreactive towards the biota or during the processes of early diagenesis, then our results imply that the amounts of reactive trace metals potentially available for the biota are, in some cases,
the calculation of basic statistics.

Metal concentrations below the detection limit and outliers were not considered for linear regression analysis and its 95% confidence level are represented by the black continuous and dashed lines, respectively. Note the logarithmic scale on both axes. Metal concentrations below the detection limit and outliers were not considered for the calculation of basic statistics.

As mentioned in the Introduction, dredging activities represent a net export of sediments (and associated trace metals) out of a harbor. Particularly important is the remobilization of metals produced by these dredging activities (e.g., Machado et al., 2011; Fathollahzadeh et al., 2015), especially when reduced sediments (like the ones present in our two harbors) are placed under oxic conditions (e.g., Morgan et al., 2012; Naylor et al., 2012). In this sense, the concentrations of the reactive fraction can be advantageously used to calculate the amounts of trace elements that can be potentially exported out of our two harbors.

Due to their lability, reactive metals can become readily available to the biota once the dredged sediments are discharged into their final destination, especially when pyrite is present, since this important diagenetic mineral is thermodynamically unstable under oxic conditions (Schoonen et al., 2000; Gartman and Luther, 2014) and acts as an important sink for a number of metals in aquatic ecosystems (Huerta-Díaz and Morse, 1992).

Hence, the amounts of trace metals associated with the pyrite or the reactive (\( \text{Me}_{\text{HCl}} + \text{Me}_{\text{pyr}} \)) fractions that can be exported out of the two harbors can be calculated by: (1) using the average concentrations of these two fractions, (2) knowing the volume of sediments dredged from the two harbors, and (3) assuming a bulk sediment density of 2.6 g cm\(^{-3}\). It has been calculated that the amount of sediment that needs to be dredged from El Sauzal Harbor as part of its modernization plans will imply the removal of 300,000 m\(^3\) of sediments (API, 2010), whereas for the Ensenada Harbor the amounts of sediment that have been dredged at different times ranged from 150,000 (Romero-Vargas-Márquez, 1995) to 1,700,000 m\(^3\) (API, 1999). The results of these calculations (Table 2) indicate that the amounts of certain trace metals involved can be considerable: if 300,000 m\(^3\) of sediments were to be dredged from El Sauzal Harbor, then the amounts of trace metals associated to pyrite that can potentially be remobilized will range from 0.41 ± 0.08 to 15.8 ± 7.4 metric tons (mt) for Cd and Cu, respectively, in addition to (2.2 ± 1.0) × 10\(^3\) mt of Fe-pyrite. The mass of trace metals associated with pyrite that were exported out of Ensenada Harbor through the dredging of 150,000 m\(^3\) ranged from 0.20 ± 0.13 to 26 ± 49 mt for Cd and Cu, respectively, plus (0.72 ± 0.44) × 10\(^3\) mt of Fe-pyrite (Table 2). When 1,700,000 m\(^3\) of sediments were dredged, the masses of trace metals exported out of the Ensenada Harbor ranged from 2.3 ± 1.5 to (0.30 ± 0.56) × 10\(^3\) mt of Cd and Cu, respectively, and (8.2 ± 5.0) × 10\(^3\) metric tons of Fe-pyrite (Table 2). Considering the same volumes of dredged sediments and assuming that all the reactive fraction will be remobilized once the dredged sediments are deposited in its final destination, then the masses of trace metals exported out of the harbors will increase considerably (Table 2): 1.1 ± 0.4 to 100 ± 56 mt for Cd and Zn, respectively, in addition to (8.6 ± 3.5) × 10\(^3\) mt of reactive Fe for El Sauzal Harbor; 0.56 ± 0.25 to 50 ± 30 mt for Cd and Zn, respectively, plus (4.8 ± 1.9) × 10\(^3\) mt of reactive Fe for Ensenada Harbor (150,000 m\(^3\) of dredged sediments); and 6.4 ± 2.9 to (0.56 ± 0.34) × 10\(^3\) mt of Cd and Zn, respectively, in addition to (55 ± 22) × 10\(^3\) mt of reactive Fe (1,700,000 m\(^3\) of dredged sediments).

These results suggest that Fe, Zn, Cu, Mn and Pb are the most remobilized labile metals and, therefore, the most bioavailable (Table 2) in both harbors. Hence, dredging of these harbors would most probably cause considerably higher in these four cores. For example, 90 ± 13% of the total Cd concentration in core 5E is associated to the reactive fraction, but only 31 ± 3% in core 2E (highest:lowest ratio of 2.9; Table 1). Similar results were obtained for Ni (40 ± 5 vs. 5 ± 1), Cu (70 ± 12 vs. 21 ± 3), Mn (31 ± 8 vs. 10 ± 1) and Co (35 ± 3 vs. 14 ± 4), with highest:lowest ratios of 8.0, 3.3, 3.1 and 2.5, respectively.

![Image](54x544 to 282x741)

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0E (43)</td>
<td>70 ± 36</td>
<td>14 ± 4</td>
<td>31 ± 3</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
<td>22 ± 8</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
<td>84 ± 29</td>
<td>93 ± 35</td>
<td>41 ± 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E (32)</td>
<td>36 ± 4</td>
<td>19 ± 3</td>
<td>57 ± 31</td>
<td>27 ± 4</td>
<td>31 ± 8</td>
<td>18 ± 2</td>
<td>62 ± 13</td>
<td>78 ± 16</td>
<td>41 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E (27)</td>
<td>31 ± 3</td>
<td>19 ± 1</td>
<td>69 ± 5</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
<td>19 ± 2</td>
<td>58 ± 4</td>
<td>57 ± 9</td>
<td>37 ± 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E (32)</td>
<td>44 ± 6</td>
<td>26 ± 3</td>
<td>60 ± 7</td>
<td>24 ± 5</td>
<td>12 ± 5</td>
<td>32 ± 4</td>
<td>59 ± 5</td>
<td>61 ± 7</td>
<td>40 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4E (21)</td>
<td>38 ± 5</td>
<td>24 ± 3</td>
<td>67 ± 11</td>
<td>16 ± 5</td>
<td>19 ± 7</td>
<td>25 ± 2</td>
<td>57 ± 8</td>
<td>56 ± 15</td>
<td>38 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5E (14)</td>
<td>90 ± 13</td>
<td>35 ± 3</td>
<td>70 ± 12</td>
<td>15 ± 3</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
<td>40 ± 5</td>
<td>69 ± 6</td>
<td>62 ± 4</td>
<td>49 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6E (9)</td>
<td>31 ± 4</td>
<td>20 ± 3</td>
<td>57 ± 5</td>
<td>15 ± 4</td>
<td>15 ± 4</td>
<td>22 ± 3</td>
<td>51 ± 7</td>
<td>73 ± 9</td>
<td>35 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (182)</td>
<td>49 ± 22</td>
<td>22 ± 7</td>
<td>57 ± 17</td>
<td>19 ± 5</td>
<td>18 ± 7</td>
<td>23 ± 11</td>
<td>64 ± 13</td>
<td>69 ± 13</td>
<td>40 ± 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El Sauzal Harbor</td>
<td>1S (13)</td>
<td>37 ± 5</td>
<td>19 ± 1</td>
<td>44 ± 8</td>
<td>19 ± 8</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
<td>25 ± 1</td>
<td>39 ± 7</td>
<td>38 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2S (13)</td>
<td>71 ± 9</td>
<td>28 ± 4</td>
<td>41 ± 5</td>
<td>58 ± 6</td>
<td>26 ± 4</td>
<td>36 ± 4</td>
<td>65 ± 15</td>
<td>79 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3S (29)</td>
<td>48 ± 8</td>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
<td>48 ± 6</td>
<td>30 ± 3</td>
<td>31 ± 10</td>
<td>24 ± 2</td>
<td>59 ± 9</td>
<td>63 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4S (19)</td>
<td>55 ± 5</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
<td>53 ± 7</td>
<td>30 ± 3</td>
<td>17 ± 3</td>
<td>33 ± 2</td>
<td>69 ± 27</td>
<td>60 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall (74)</td>
<td>53 ± 14</td>
<td>23 ± 4</td>
<td>46 ± 5</td>
<td>35 ± 17</td>
<td>23 ± 7</td>
<td>30 ± 6</td>
<td>58 ± 13</td>
<td>60 ± 17</td>
<td>41 ± 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses refer to the number of samples. Note: data calculated without outliers (Cu and Zn concentrations at 5.5 cm depth in core 1E for the HCl fraction, and Cu concentration at 14.5 cm in core 1E for the HCl and pyrite fractions).
hazardous effects on benthic organisms, especially if the dredged sediments come from the inner part of Ensenada Harbor or from the west corner of El Sauzal Harbor, given the high concentrations of these metals in the reactive fraction of these sediments.

4.2. DOP, DOS and DTMP in both harbors

Average degrees of pyritization (DOP) of the sediments from Ensenada and El Sauzal Harbors were lower than expected (15.1 ± 7.4 and 25.2 ± 8.7%, respectively; Fig. 7) considering that, generally, the sediments of the two harbors are anoxic close to the sediment–water interface. The reason for these relatively low DOP values is that considerable portions of the sedimentary Fe sulfides are in the form of AVS, with average concentrations for the Ensenada and El Sauzal Harbors of 83 ± 71 and 51 ± 23 μmol g⁻¹, respectively (Table S2). Interestingly, AVS concentrations were, in some instances (e.g., cores 1E, 3E, 0E and 2S), much higher than those obtained for pyrite, as Fe concentrations were, in some instances (e.g., cores 1E, 3E, 0E and 2S), indicating that iron sulfides in this harbor are not pyritized by Nava-López and Huerta-Díaz (2001) and Huerta-Díaz and Morse (1992) for sediments of the Mexican Pacific and the Gulf of Mexico, respectively, who reported that Zn was not pyritized to a great extent (0–20%) whereas Cu tended to have moderate to high DTMP values (10–100%). Cadmium, a metal that is usually not pyritized to a great extent, however, showed a relatively high percentage of DTMP (29 ± 18% to 38.9 ± 8.9%), probably due to its abundance in our coastal sediments as a consequence of the abnormally high Cd concentrations that have been measured in plankton from Baja California (10–20 ppm; Martin and Broenkow, 1975) and the contribution of this element by upwelling processes (Segovia-Zavala et al., 1998) that are common in the northwest coast of Baja California during spring and summer (Alvarez-Borrego and Alvarez-Borrego, 1982; Muñoz-Barbosa et al., 2004). Our analyzed elements were arbitrarily grouped into three different levels of association with pyrite based on their DTMP values: Group DTMP-1 which includes only Cu, the most extensively pyritized metal; Group DTMP-2 that comprises those elements that showed intermediate DTMP levels (29–48%), namely Cd, Co, Ni and Pb; and Group DTMP-3 which includes trace metals that were weakly pyritized (Mn and Zn). However, and independently of the group to which they belonged, DOP values showed highly significant (p ≤ 0.001, n = 254–256) linear correlations with the different DTMP values (Fig. 8). Considering that the steeper the slope is, the more readily the element is pyritized, then the order in which the elements were increasingly pyritized in the sediments of both harbors was (Fig 8): Zn (0.19 ± 0.03) < Pb (0.27 ± 0.07) < Ni (0.37 ± 0.05) < Cu (0.39 ± 0.12) < Mn (0.49 ± 0.05) < Cd (0.78 ± 0.10) < Co (0.94 ± 0.06). These results indicate that for each increase in one percentage point of DOP, the DTMP-Zn increased by only 0.19 ± 0.03%, whereas in the case of Co for each increase in one percentage point of DOP, the DTMP-Co increased by as much as 0.94 ± 0.06%.

It is interesting to note that the elements that were highly pyritized (Co and, especially, Cu) were not necessarily highly enriched in the sediments (as expressed by their enrichment factor, or E(n)). For example, according to Huerta-Díaz et al. (2008), Cu was neither enriched nor impoverished (0.6 > E(Fe_Cu) > 1.5) in both harbors, whereas Zn was considered as slightly enriched (1.5 > E(Fe_Zn) > 2.5). What factors determine the degree of association of trace metals with sedimentary pyrite? According to our results, the relative abundance of the metals associated with the HCl fraction (e.g., Fig. 6) is one factor. Another factor, however, can be ascribed to metal class-type behavior combined with the solubility product of the different metal sulfides (Huerta-Díaz and Morse, 1992). The free metal ion (Me(II)⁺) concentration in equilibrium with a homogenous metal sulfide (Stumm and Morgan, 1996) is governed by the following reaction (Jacobs, 1984):

\[ H^+ + MeS(s) \rightarrow Me^{2+} + HS^- \]  

and that of Fe by:

\[ H^+ + Fe_{2S(0)} \rightarrow Fe^{2+} + HS^- + S^0 \]  

where MeS(s) represents the solid sulfide trace metal. Solid solution formation may be characterized by the following reaction (Stumm and Morgan, 1996):

\[ Fe_{2S(0)} + Me^{2+} \rightarrow MeS(s) + Fe^{2+} + S^0 \]

It can be demonstrated that the equilibrium constant for reaction (7) corresponds to the ratio of the solubility product constants K_s(MeS) and K_{sp(pyr)} of Eqs. (5) and (6). Eqs. (5) to (7) suggest that there should be a relationship between DTMP (a proxy to solid solution activity) and the ratio K_s(MeS)/K_{sp(pyr)}; we found that this could indeed be the case.
When our average DTMP values for each of the two harbors were plotted against the $-\log(K_{\text{sp(MeS)}}/K_{\text{sp(pyr)}})$ for the different trace metals, significant correlations were found for both Ensenada ($p \leq 0.01$, $r^2 = 0.73$, $n = 8$) and El Sauzal ($p \leq 0.05$, $r^2 = 0.57$, $n = 8$) Harbors (Fig. 9). Hence, the incorporation of trace metals into the pyrite phase and solid solution formation with this mineral phase is, most probably, influenced by the solubility products of the metal sulfide complexes, and, therefore, by the equilibrium reached in reaction (7).

4.3. Flux(199,890),(881,996) of dissolved Fe$^{2+}$ in Ensenada Harbor

To explain the mechanisms that influence pyrite and AVS formation in the sediments of Ensenada Harbor, dissolved sulfate and dissolved Fe$^{2+}$ were measured in sediment pore waters from core 0E. Fig. 10a clearly illustrates the processes involved in Fe sulfide formation, where sulfate concentrations decrease with depth due to bacterial sulfate reduction processes until, at a depth of 40 cm, sulfate is completely

---

**Fig. 7.** Box-Whisker plots and average values of the degrees of pyritization (DOP) and degrees of trace metal pyritization (DTMP) of Fe and metals, respectively, measured in Ensenada and El Sauzal harbors. The boundary of the box closest to zero indicates the 25th percentile, the line and the dot within the box marks represent the median and the mean, respectively, and the boundary of the box farthest from zero indicates the 75th percentile. Bars above and below the box indicate the 90th and 10th percentiles, respectively. Data calculated without outliers.
depleted from pore water. The H$_2$S produced by the process of sulfate reduction titrates the dissolved Fe$^{2+}$ that originated from the reductive dissolution of iron oxyhydroxides, forming in the process Fe sulfi des in the form of pyrite and AVS. The titration of Fe$^{2+}$ continues until there is no more H$_2$S available due to the complete depletion of SO$_4^{2-}$ through the process of sulfate reduction, at which point (39 cm) the concentration of Fe$^{2+}$ increases again. The Fe sulfide (pyrite + AVS) profile is then essentially the mirror image of the Fe$^{2+}$ profile, with high concentrations of the former corresponding to low concentrations of the latter (Fig. 10a). According to this figure, once there is no more sulfate available for its bacterial reduction to H$_2$S (at 40 cm depth), Fe$^{2+}$ cannot continue to be precipitated as sulfide and Fe sulfide concentrations start to decrease.

Dissolved iron fluxes ($F$), which indicate the rate of formation of Fe sulfides in the sediments, can be calculated from our measured Fe$^{3+}$ concentrations ($C$) using the unidimensional form of Fick’s First Law (Berner, 1980):

$$F = \frac{-\psi D_0 \partial C}{\partial x}$$

(8)

where $\psi$, $\theta$, and $x$ represent porosity, tortuosity and depth of the sediment, respectively, in core 0E. A value of $5.82 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ at 18 °C (Li and Gregory, 1974) was used for the diffusion coefficient ($D_0$). No $D_0$ corrections for viscosity were made since it has been calculated that diffusion coefficients of ions in water and in seawater differ by no more than zero to 8% (Li and Gregory, 1974). Porosity ($\phi$) was approximated from the percent of water of the sediment, whereas tortuosity ($\theta$) was calculated from the expression $\theta^2 = 1 - \ln(\psi^2)$ developed by Boudreau (1996). Fluxes towards the water column and towards the sediment were considered as negative and positive, respectively. The results of this exercise are shown in Fig. 10b, where, as expected, the upper portion of the core shows an Fe$^{2+}$ flux of 0.90 mol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$ towards the sediment, whereas the deeper portions of the sediment show fluxes of 0.69 and 0.55 mol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$ towards the water column. It should be noted, however, that the latter results should be taken with caution due to potential contamination of this part of the core during its handling. Hence, dissolved Fe fluxes of approximately the same magnitude converge in the middle portion of the core, which is the place where most of the Fe sulfides are actively forming and where Fe$^{2+}$ fluxes approach values close to zero (Fig. 10b). Since dissolved Fe and SO$_4^{2-}$ were measured only in core 0E, a harbor-wide Fe$^{2+}$ flux calculation cannot be made. As a first approximation, however, we can assume that the behavior observed in core 0E is similar to all of the sediments in Ensenada Harbor (1.95 km$^2$ surface area). Under this assumption and using the upper core Fe$^{2+}$...
flux of 0.90 mol m$^{-2}$ y$^{-1}$ (Fig. 10b) we calculated that 98 metric tons of Fe are precipitated as Fe sulfides every year to Ensenada Harbor sediments. This amount of Fe (and associated trace metals) will remain preserved in the sediments, unless it is perturbed by processes such as dredging or sediment resuspension. It is interesting to note that the amount of Fe sulfides formed in the sediments represents only 1.2 to 14% of the Fe associated to pyrite that can be exported out of Ensenada Harbor through dredging (Table 2).

4.4. Metal concentrations in the polychaete A. brevis collected from Ensenada Harbor

In Ensenada Harbor, trace metal concentrations in the burrowing polychaete *A. brevis* differed somewhat among the 5 study sites, with mean values of Cd, Co and Ni being highest at the site closest to the harbor mouth (5E), whereas those of Cu and Pb were highest at an inner harbor site (2E) as were those of Zn (site 1E) (Table 3). To compare overall metal-contamination levels at each site, we used the Metal Pollution Index (MPI), calculated as $\text{MPI} = (C_1 \times C_2 \times \ldots \times C_n)^{1/n}$ (Usero et al., 1996, 1997, 2005; Chaudhuri et al., 2007), where $C_n$ is the concentration of the $n$ metal in the sample, to calculate the geometric mean value for each site (Varol, 2011). MPI values suggest that polychaetes at site 2E have the highest overall metal concentrations (Table 3).

The accumulation of sediment-associated metals by *A. brevis* can be further evaluated using the biota-sediment accumulation factor (BSAF) for metals (Burkhard, 2009), which is the ratio of total metal concentrations in this polychaete relative to those in the first cm of the sediments. Our calculated BSAF values using total sediment concentrations (as reported by Huerta-Díaz et al. (2008)) were: 0.13–0.44 for Cd, 0.03–0.11 for Co, 0.23–2.2 for Cu, 0.05–0.24 for Ni, 0.05–0.08 for Pb and 1.4–5.3 for Zn. BSAFs > 1 were calculated for Cu in *A. brevis* collected from a less contaminated site.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core code (depth in cm)</th>
<th>Cd (nmol g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Co (nmol g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Cu (nmol g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ni (nmol g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Pb (nmol g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Zn (nmol g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>MPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1E (4, 7)</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.6</td>
<td>44.2 ± 8.8</td>
<td>(1.13 ± 0.18) × 10$^4$</td>
<td>47 ± 13</td>
<td>19.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>(7.5 ± 1.5) × 10$^4$</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E (5, 6)</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>29.2 ± 6.7</td>
<td>(2.67 ± 0.40) × 10$^4$</td>
<td>99 ± 59</td>
<td>23.2 ± 3.3</td>
<td>(5.8 ± 1.0) × 10$^4$</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E (5, 5)</td>
<td>7.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>42.6 ± 8.5</td>
<td>(1.18 ± 0.26) × 10$^4$</td>
<td>57.4 ± 6.3</td>
<td>18.7 ± 2.8</td>
<td>(4.6 ± 0.8) × 10$^4$</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4E (5, 7)</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>47.7 ± 3.8</td>
<td>(0.70 ± 0.13) × 10$^4$</td>
<td>70 ± 23</td>
<td>17.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>(4.14 ± 0.26) × 10$^4$</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5E (5, 7)</td>
<td>12.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>56.1 ± 4.8</td>
<td>(0.546 ± 0.083) × 10$^7$</td>
<td>127 ± 14</td>
<td>16.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>(4.76 ± 0.23) × 10$^3$</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td>43.9 ± 9.8</td>
<td>(1.25 ± 0.84) × 10$^5$</td>
<td>80 ± 33</td>
<td>19.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>(5.4 ± 1.4) × 10$^4$</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses in the core codes refer to the number of sediment samples where the polychaete was found and the total number of analyzed organisms in each core, respectively. Metal concentrations below the detection limit were not considered in the calculation of basic statistics. Data calculated without outliers (Cu and Zn concentrations at 5.5 cm depth in core 1E for the HCl fraction, and Cu concentration at 14.5 cm in core 1E for the fraction).
cores 2E, 3E, 5E, and for Zn in all cores, with the highest BSAP value corresponding to site 5E. These results suggest that *Armandia brevis* in Ensenada Harbor have a tendency to accumulate relatively more Cu and Zn compared to the other metals that we measured. The BSAP values for Cd, Co, Ni and Pb in this study were much lower than those previously reported for other species of polychaetes collected from China (Fan et al., 2014), India (Alam et al., 2010) and several UK estuaries (Casado-Martinez et al., 2009). The BSAP values for Cu and Zn observed in this study, however, were similar or even higher than those previously reported for other impacted sites (e.g., Alam et al., 2010: 0.53–1.29 for Cu and 0.95–2.93 for Zn; Fan et al., 2014: 0.19–0.77 for Cu and 0.39–0.78 for Zn). These differences among regions are likely due in large part to behavioral and physiological differences among the various polychaete species used in these studies.

There was a strong correlation (p < 0.01; \( r^2 = 0.87 \)) between average reactive trace metal concentrations in sediments and metal concentrations in *A. brevis* (Fig. 11; note the logarithmic scales). Significant correlations were also calculated between metal concentrations in the polychaetes and the total and HCl fractions (\( p < 0.05, r^2 = 0.75 \) and \( p < 0.05, r^2 = 0.72 \), respectively). However, no significant correlations were observed between metal concentrations in the polychaete and those in the pyrite fraction. These relationships imply that the order of abundance of metals associated with the HCl fraction in the sediments influence the amounts of metals that are accumulated by the polychaete. However, metals associated with minerals that are stable under reducing conditions (e.g., pyrite and, maybe, AVS) appear to have no influence on metal bioaccumulation. Overall, our results suggest that the polychaete *A. brevis* accumulates trace metals from sediments and as such would be a good candidate for monitoring trace metal contamination in sediments.

5. Conclusions

Results from the analyses of the HCl and pyrite fractions showed that, generally, most metal concentrations were higher in sediments from Ensenada Harbor than those from El Sauzal Harbor, with significant differences (\( p < 0.05 \)) found between the two harbors for all metals in both fractions (except for Cd and Zn in the HCl fraction). These results indicate that Ensenada Harbor sediments are, on average, more enriched in trace metals, probably because this harbor is approximately 30 years older than El Sauzal and, therefore, has had more time to accumulate trace metals in the reactive fraction. Statistical analyses revealed significant differences (\( p < 0.05 \)) between both fractions for all the metals measured in the two harbors, with the exception of Co in El Sauzal Harbor. The elevated number of correlations found among metals associated with the pyrite fraction suggests that this mineral phase plays an important geochemical role in the distribution of metals in the reduced sediments from both harbors.

Iron sulfide formation represents an important sink for Fe, with estimated fluxes of \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) of 0.90 mol m\(^{-2}\) y\(^{-1}\) towards the sediment in core 0E (the only core for which dissolved Fe fluxes were measured). Our results indicate that \( \text{Fe}^{2+} \) fluxes of approximately the same magnitude converge in the middle portion of the core, which is the place where most of the solid Fe sulfides (pyrite and AVS) are actively forming and where \( \text{Fe}^{3+} \) fluxes approach values close to zero. Extrapolating the flux measured in the upper portion of the core to the whole of Ensenada Harbor, suggests that 98 mt of Fe are precipitated as sulfides every year in the sediments of this harbor. This amount of Fe (and associated trace metals) will remain preserved in the sediments, until they are perturbed by dredging operations, sediment resuspension, or other physical means.

Based on the average composition of metals associated with the pyrite fraction, it is estimated that dredging will export to the open ocean considerable amounts of trace elements, with masses ranging from 0.20 ± 0.13 to (0.30 ± 0.56) × 10\(^7\) mt of Cd and Cu, respectively (and even higher amounts of pyritic Fe), depending on the amounts of sediments dredged in each harbor. This trace element export represents a potential threat to marine benthic organisms, especially considering that pyrite (and associated trace metals) are unstable under oxic conditions, which are normally prevalent in the open ocean.

The extent of pyritization, as expressed by the DOP values, was relatively low while the extent of sulfidization (as expressed by the DOS) was high because of the elevated sedimentary AVS concentrations found in both harbors, with approximately 50% of the solid reactive Fe bound to sulfide. DOP values showed highly significant linear correlations with the DTMP (\( p < 0.001, n = 254–256 \)), indicating that metals were being readily incorporated into pyrite as this mineral was formed. The order in which elements were increasingly pyritized in the sediments of both harbors was Zn < Pb < Ni ≈ Cu < Mn < Cd < Co, indicating that Co is the metal that is most readily pyritized. This incorporation of trace elements into the pyrite phase appears to be influenced by two main factors:

1) The magnitude of a given trace metal in the reactive fraction, as suggested by the very strong dependency (\( p < 0.001, r^2 = 0.80, n = 16 \)) found between the average metal concentrations associated with the HCl fraction and those associated with the pyrite fraction in both harbors.

2) The solubility products of the different metal sulfides, as suggested by the significant correlations found between our average DTMP (and DOP) values (a proxy of solid sulfide activity) and the ratio \(-\log(K_{\text{sp(int)}}/K_{\text{sp(pyrt)}})\) for both Ensenada (\( p < 0.01, r^2 = 0.73, n = 8 \)) and El Sauzal (\( p < 0.05, r^2 = 0.57, n = 8 \)) Harbors.

The BSAP values of Cd, Co, Ni and Pb in the polychaete *A. brevis* were lower than previously reported (e.g., Fan et al., 2014; Alam et al., 2010; Casado-Martinez et al., 2009) for other geographical locations (e.g., Jinzhou Bay in Northern China, Sundarban mangrove wetland of India, and several UK estuaries, respectively); the BSAPs of Cu and Zn, however, were higher in our harbors than those reported for these ecosystems. We found that the order of abundance of metals associated with the HCl fraction in the sediments influences the amounts of metals that are accumulated by the polychaete, as suggested by the highly significantly correlation (\( p < 0.01 \) and \( r^2 = 0.87 \)) found between the average reactive trace metal concentrations and the metal concentrations measured in *A. brevis*. Most importantly, no significant correlation
was observed between average metal concentrations in the pyrite fraction and those determined in the polychaete. These results imply that metals associated with the mineral phases present in, or originating from, the oxic part of the sediment influence the amounts of metals that are accumulated by the polychaete, whereas those present in the anoxic portion of the sediment do not have an appreciable effect on metal concentrations in *A. brevis*.
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