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Abstract: We present new fission-track and (U–Th)/He data from apatite and zircon in order to reconstruct the exhumation of the Sierra de Cameros, in the northwestern part of Iberian Range, Spain. Zircon fission-track ages from samples from the depocentre of the basin were reset during the metamorphic peak at approximately 100 Ma. Detrital apatites from the uppermost sediments retain fission-track age information that is older than the sediment deposition age, indicating that these rocks have not exceeded 110 °C. Apatites from deeper in the stratigraphic sequence of the central part of the basin have fission-track ages of around 40 Ma, significantly younger than the stratigraphic age, recording the time of cooling after peak metamorphic conditions. Apatite (U–Th)/He ages in samples from these sediments are 31–40 Ma and record the last period of cooling during Alpine compression. The modelled thermal history derived from the uppermost sediments indicates that the thermal pulse associated with peak metamorphism was rapid, and that the region has cooled continuously to the present. The estimated palaeogeothermal gradient is around 86 °C/km and supports a tectonic model with a thick sedimentary fill (c. 8 km) and explains the origin of the low-grade metamorphism observed in the oldest sediments.

Convergence of the Eurasian and Iberian plates during the Palaeogene–early Miocene led to the formation of the Pyrenees in the northern Iberian margin. The Iberian Range, located to the south of the Pyrenees, is an intraplate mountain chain that formed as a result of migration of compressional deformation towards the inner part of the Iberian microplate during Alpine collision. The Cameros Basin, located in the northwestern most part of the Iberian Chain (Fig. 1), represents a synrift sequence formed prior to the Alpine convergence during the Upper Jurassic–Mid Cretaceous extensional period. It was inverted during the subsequent Alpine shortening, either by the reactivation of the synrift normal faults or on newly formed faults and thrust (Casas-Sainz & Gil-Imaz 1998).

The stratigraphy, mineralogy, metamorphism and structure of the Sierra de Cameros have been studied for several decades (e.g. Tischer 1966; Mas et al. 1993; Casas-Sainz & Gil-Imaz 1998; Mata et al. 2001). However, the timing and mechanism of the basin inversion, the origin of the greenschist-facies metamorphism of sediments in the deepest part of the basin and the geometry of the sedimentary filling remain unclear. Three tectonic models have been proposed to explain the formation of the Cameros Basin and the geometry of the synrift deposits.

In the first model Guiraud (1983) and Guiraud & Séguret (1984) proposed an east–west-striking, south-dipping normal fault in the basement as the structure responsible for the formation of a syncline basin. The sedimentary infilling of this basin progressively onlapped the structure as the depocentre migrated northwards (Fig. 2a). In this model the total thickness of the sedimentary fill would not exceed 5 km. During the Tertiary the basin was inverted, with the normal faults being reactivated as reverse faults.

In a second model Mas et al. (1993) and Guimerà et al. (1995) (Fig. 2b) interpreted the Cameros Basin as a sag basin that formed on a ramp of an extensional, subhorizontal fault located several kilometres deep in the Variscan basement. The sedimentary units that fill the basin decrease in thickness towards the southern border and onlap to the north on the Jurassic, prerift deposits. The depocentres are located on the normal fault ramp and migrated progressively towards the north. Again, the maximum vertical thickness reached by the sedimentary fill would be about 5 km. Tectonic inversion of the basin was caused by a newly formed thrust developed along the northern border, and a thrust system to the south. In these two models the origin of low-grade metamorphism remains unclear and some authors claim for a hydrothermal origin (e.g. Casquet et al. 1992).

Fig. 1. Schematic geological map of the Sierra de Cameros showing the location of the study area within the context of the Iberian plate and the locations of cross-sections and samples for this study.
Casas-Sainz & Gil-Imaz (1998) proposed an alternative model in which the basin exhibits a half-graben geometry controlled by a listric fault developed along previous Variscan structures. This fault does not extend beyond the limits of the basin, and the units stack vertically and decrease in thickness towards the basin margins (Fig. 2c). The maximum vertical thickness reached by the sedimentary fill according to this model was 8 km. During the Tertiary basin inversion the Upper Triassic sediments (Keuper facies) were the detachment level for thrusts involving the Mesozoic cover. This model can satisfactorily explain the origin of low-grade metamorphism in the deep basin mainly as a consequence of burial. The interpretation of the magnetic fabric of rocks (ASM: Gil-Imaz et al. 2000), and fluid inclusions in quartz and calcite filling extensional cracks (Mata et al. 2001) also support this hypothesis.

The Sierra de Cameros shows several features that make it an interesting area for the study of the evolution of intraplate rifting process and far-field effect of the plate boundaries. These include: (1) a well-preserved, thick synrift sedimentary sequence; (2) a unique low-grade metamorphic event and several folding stages that did not appear in other parts of the Iberian Chain; and (3) the presence of thick, well-preserved foreland basin deposits (Ebro Basin) in which it is possible to study the evolution of the eroded part of the Sierra de Cameros.

The application of low-temperature thermochronometers such as fission track and (U–Th)/He to detrital minerals provides a unique tool to better understand the thermal history of the Sierra de Cameros and, consequently, its geological evolution. This is facilitated by the presence of detrital apatite and zircon crystals in the detrital rocks of the sedimentary sequence of the Cameros Basin. The combination of two low-temperature thermochronological methods allows for new constraints on the palaeogeothermal gradients to be placed, which in turn can be compared to previous data from other independent techniques.

**Geological framework**

The Sierra de Cameros forms the main part of the northwestern sector of the Iberian Range. It is bordered by two continental basins, the Ebro and Duero basins to the north and south respectively, and by two Paleozoic massifs, the Sierra de la Demanda to the west and the Moncayo Massif to the east (Fig. 1). Sediments of the Sierra de Cameros were deposited in a Mesozoic basin that formed as a consequence of northward propagation of rifting related to the progressive opening of the North Atlantic Ocean and the Bay of Biscay. Trans-tensional rifting of the Bay of Biscay resulted in the progressive destruction of the Middle–Late Jurassic carbonate platforms and the development of a new extensional system in the Iberian Range (Mas et al. 2002). The basin exhibited a half-graben-like geometry that was controlled by a system of extensional listric faults with NW–SE strikes that were developed on earlier Variscan structures. The synrift stratigraphic sequence spans from Upper Jurassic (Tithonian–Berriasian) to the upper–lower Cretaceous boundary (Albian–Cenomanian) (Mas et al. 1994; Muñoz et al. 1997). Depositional sequences are classically divided into five lithostratigraphic groups: the Tera, Oncala, Urbión, Enciso and Oliván groups (Tischer 1966). Sediments are largely fluvial sandstones, lutites and conglomerates (Tera, Urbión and Oliván groups), and lacustrine sediments.
carbonates with siliciclastic influence (Oncala and Enciso groups). The clastic sequences are characterized by compositional immaturity (arkoses are abundant) characteristic of proximal facies. The geochemistry of the detrital sediments reveals an acid-igneous or metamorphic source rock (Mata et al. 2000). The sediments may have been sourced in areas close to the Spanish Central System, which is dominated by acid granites and orthogneisses. This is consistent with the palaeo-current directions (Tischer 1966) and the compositional immaturity of the sediments. Depositional ages used in the present work are based on Charophyceae biozones (Schudack 1987), pollen analysis (Martin i Closas 1989) and correlations made by Mas et al. (1993), which give depositional times for the Cameros Basin as follows: lower Albian for the Olíván group; Aptian for the Enciso group; Barremian–Valanginian for the Urbión group; Berriasian for the Oncala group; and Tithonian for the Tera group.

The presence of chloritoid in the deepest sediments cropping out at present indicates that the region underwent a low-grade metamorphism that peaked of approximately at 326–350 °C and 1 kb (Casquet et al. 1992; Mata et al. 2001). Ar–Ar and K–Ar ages for peak temperatures are approximately 100 Ma (Goldberg et al. 1988; Casquet et al. 1992). During the Tertiary the basin underwent tectonic inversion related to Alpine compression that produced folding and exhumation of the whole Mesozoic basin. The Cameros Basin sediments were thrust about 25–30 km north along the Cameros thrust over the Tertiary molasse of the Ebro Basin (Guimerà et al. 1995; Casas-Sainz & Gil-Imaz 1998). During the Palaeocene and Eocene, the Sierra de Cameros was the source area for the detrital sediments that were deposited in the Ebro Basin, in particular for its westernmost part (the Rioja Trough) where they reach a maximum thickness of 5 km (Muñoz-Jiménez & Casas-Sainz 1997).

Results

Fission-track results are shown in Table 1. Radial plots of zircon fission-track (ZFT) ages of the two samples from profile A–A′ are displayed in Figure 3. Zircons from sample MAO-3 from the Olíván group have two age populations that are considerably older than the sediment stratigraphic age (Lower Albian). Zircons from POC-9 (Urbión group) yield a single fission-track age population of about 85 Ma. This is considerably younger than the sediment deposition age (Valanginian–Barremian).

Apatite fission-track (AFT) data have been obtained in three samples along the profile A–A′ (Fig. 3). Sample MAO-3 (Olíván) shows three age populations: 60.9 ± 2.9 Ma (P1), 80.0 ± 4.1 Ma (P2) and 122.9 ± 15.5 Ma (P3). Mean track length associated with the P1 and P2 age populations are 12.8 ± 2.2 and 11.7 ± 2.4 μm, respectively, showing in both cases a negatively skewed distribution (Fig. 4). Dpar of the P1 and P2 populations have average values of 1.38 ± 0.14 and 1.38 ± 0.13 μm, respectively. Fluorine (1.32–1.49 apfu (atoms per formula unit)) and chlorine (0.02–0.03 apfu) contents show a negative correlation with the Dpar values (Fig. 5). Sample MAO-4 (lower part of the Olíván Group) shows two age populations of 54.3 ± 2.4 Ma (P1) and 69.8 ± 5.6 Ma (P2) that are younger than its stratigraphic age, and a third population of 127.3 ± 24.7 Ma (P3) that is older than its stratigraphic age. P1 and P2 age populations have mean track lengths of 11.3 ± 2.3 and 11.6 ± 2.2 μm, respectively, showing in both cases a negatively skewed distribution (Fig. 4). Average Dpar measurements are 2.2 ± 0.3 μm for P1, 2.1 ± 0.3 μm for P2 and 2.1 ± 0.3 μm for P3. Fluorine and chlorine contents are 1.26–1.41 and 0.02–0.03 apfu, respectively, and show a negative correlation with the measured Dpar (Fig. 5). Finally, sample POC-9 exhibits a single AFT age of approximately 48.3 ± 4.1 Ma.

AFT data from nine sandstone samples along the profile B–B′ are shown in Figure 1. Most samples have several age populations, most of them younger than the depositional age (see radial plots in Fig. 6). Sample JS-6 (Olíván) has an age population of 123.2 ± 14.9 Ma, which is older than the depositional age (Lower Albian), but the other two components are younger. Apatites from samples JS-9 and JS-11, both from the middle part of the Olíván group, yield a single age population of 55.6 ± 3.2 and 34.5 ± 1.9 Ma, respectively. The number of confined tracks in samples from the B–B′ profile is far too low to allow a reasonable statistical evaluation of the data. Mean track length in samples JS-9 and JS-11 are 10.61 ± 2.4 m. (n = 19) and 11.32 ± 2.34 (n = 9) μm, respectively. Dpar values for these samples are in the range of 2.4 and 6.0 μm.

(U–Th)/He ages (AHe) have been determined on apatites from two sandstone samples from profile B–B′ (see Fig. 6 and Table 2). Sample JS-21 (Tera) yields AHe age of 31.1 ± 1.5 Ma, and two splits of apatites from JS-12 (Olíván) of 39.8 ± 2.8 and 37.6 ± 1.8 Ma.

Thermal modelling

Thermal modelling has been performed on samples MAO-3 and MAO-4 from the Olíván group in which track lengths associated with the two youngest age populations have been clearly separated. From the
Table 1. Apatite and zircon fission-track age data from the Sierra de Cameros

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Stratigraphic age Group</th>
<th>No. of grains</th>
<th>$p_d$ (10^6 tr cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$p_c$ (10^6 tr cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Central age $\pm \sigma$ (Ma)</th>
<th>$D_{par}$ (μm)/MTL (μm)</th>
<th>Age populations (Ma ± 1σ, N$_r$, W (%))</th>
<th>Fit statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAO-3</td>
<td>Lower Albian</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.132</td>
<td>1.955</td>
<td>5.269</td>
<td>71.7 ± 3.0</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1/12.8 ± 2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ap)</td>
<td>Oliva`n</td>
<td></td>
<td>(5249)</td>
<td>(4995)</td>
<td>(13462)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N$_r$ = 25.7 W = 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAO-3</td>
<td>Lower Albian</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>13.524</td>
<td>1.7256</td>
<td>211.4 ± 18.7</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1/11.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Zr)</td>
<td>Oliva`n</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1826)</td>
<td>(3511)</td>
<td>(448)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N$_r$ = 19.2 W = 18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS-6</td>
<td>Lower Albian</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>1.007</td>
<td>1.547</td>
<td>4.696</td>
<td>58.0 ± 3.4</td>
<td>3.8 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ap)</td>
<td>Oliva`n</td>
<td></td>
<td>(4607)</td>
<td>(5583)</td>
<td>(16948)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N$_r$ = 4.6 W = 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS-8</td>
<td>Lower Albian</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.124</td>
<td>1.499</td>
<td>5.053</td>
<td>55.7 ± 3.4</td>
<td>4.5 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ap)</td>
<td>Oliva`n</td>
<td></td>
<td>(5212)</td>
<td>(1041)</td>
<td>(3509)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N$_r$ = 7.0 W = 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAO-4</td>
<td>Lower Albian</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.141</td>
<td>1.503</td>
<td>4.943</td>
<td>59.1 ± 2.4</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.3/11.3 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ap)</td>
<td>Oliva`n</td>
<td></td>
<td>(5289)</td>
<td>(3848)</td>
<td>(12655)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N$_r$ = 32.5 W = 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS-9</td>
<td>Lower Albian</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.929</td>
<td>1.452</td>
<td>3.973</td>
<td>55.6 ± 3.2</td>
<td>3.4 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ap)</td>
<td>Oliva`n</td>
<td></td>
<td>(4307)</td>
<td>(878)</td>
<td>(2402)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N$_r$ = 13.0 W = 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JB-17</td>
<td>Lower Albian</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.066</td>
<td>1.220</td>
<td>3.532</td>
<td>64.4 ± 6.2</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ap)</td>
<td>Oliva`n</td>
<td></td>
<td>(4942)</td>
<td>(663)</td>
<td>(1919)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N$_r$ = 8.0 W = 15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS-11</td>
<td>Lower Albian</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>0.722</td>
<td>2.830</td>
<td>34.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ap)</td>
<td>Oliva`n</td>
<td></td>
<td>(4093)</td>
<td>(556)</td>
<td>(2179)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N$_r$ = 24.5 W = 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS-12</td>
<td>Lower Albian</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.065</td>
<td>1.163</td>
<td>4.193</td>
<td>48.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ap)</td>
<td>Oliva`n</td>
<td></td>
<td>(4941)</td>
<td>(4598)</td>
<td>(16578)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N$_r$ = 43.3 W = 11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS-13</td>
<td>Apitan</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>1.065</td>
<td>3.226</td>
<td>46.5 ± 2.7</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS-15</td>
<td>Hauterivian–Barremian</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.101</td>
<td>0.931</td>
<td>3.486</td>
<td>50.6 ± 4.3</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Ap)</td>
<td>Urbion</td>
<td></td>
<td>(5102)</td>
<td>(747)</td>
<td>(2796)</td>
<td></td>
<td>N$_r$ = 11.8 W = 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
Table 1. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No. (mineral type)*</th>
<th>Stratigraphic age Group</th>
<th>No. of grains</th>
<th>$\rho_D (10^6 \text{ tr cm}^{-2})$ $(N_D)$</th>
<th>$\rho_s (10^6 \text{ tr cm}^{-2})$ $(N_s)$</th>
<th>$\rho_i (10^6 \text{ tr cm}^{-2})$ $(N_i)$</th>
<th>Central age $\pm 1\sigma$ (Ma) $P(\chi^2)$</th>
<th>$D_{par} (\mu m)/\text{MTL} (\mu m) \pm 1\sigma$</th>
<th>Age populations (Ma $\pm 1\sigma$), $N_i$, $W$ (%)</th>
<th>Fit statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POC-9 (Ap) Urbión</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.168 (5417)</td>
<td>0.270 (202)</td>
<td>1.099 (822)</td>
<td>$48.3 \pm 4.1$</td>
<td>$82.61$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POC-9 (Zr) Urbión</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.3854 (1787)</td>
<td>9.3645 (1615)</td>
<td>2.9108 (502)</td>
<td>$84.7 \pm 5.5$</td>
<td>$65.73$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS-21 (Ap) Tera</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.863 (4001)</td>
<td>1.322 (1347)</td>
<td>6.139 (6256)</td>
<td>$28.7 \pm 1.5$</td>
<td>$P1 = 3.2 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$25.5 \pm 1.8$</td>
<td>$34.6 \pm 2.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$P2 = 3.2 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$N_i = 13.1$</td>
<td>$N_i = 7.9$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$W = 17$</td>
<td>$W = 15$</td>
<td>$P(F) = 0%$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ap, apatite; Zr, zircon.

1CN-5 for apatites and CN-2 for zircons.

Samples MAO-3, MAO-4 and POC-9 measured by Luis Barbero $\zeta$ (CN-5) = $337.8 \pm 5.2$ and $\zeta$ (CN-2) = $137.5 \pm 4.5$.

All samples JS measured by Pedro del Rio $\zeta$ (CN-5) = $339.2 \pm 8.2$.

$\rho_D$, glass monitor track density; $\rho_s$, spontaneous track density; $\rho_i$, induced track density; $N_{D,s,i}$, number of tracks counted to determine the reported track density.

$N_i$, number of grains in age population; $W$, relative standard deviation; $\chi^2$, goodness of fit parameter; $l$, degrees of freedom; $P(F)$, probability that the random variation alone could produce the observed $F$ value for peak 2 or 3.

MTL, mean track length.
analysis of the age population distribution in these two samples, it is clear that both have undergone partial resetting after deposition. Both populations retain an inherited thermal signal from the source areas. Constraints used for modelling include: (1) the depositional age of the sediment (Lower Albian); (2) the fact that the AFT system is not fully reset indicates that maximum temperatures reached after deposition should be less than approximately 120 °C (in agreement with the stratigraphic position of these two samples from the Oliván group); and (3) for the predepositional history a free temperature constraint has been used for times in the 280–120 Ma interval. The chlorine content of these two samples is similar to the Durango apatite, therefore the Laslett et al. (1987) annealing model has been used. Modelling was performed using the AFTSolve program (Ketcham et al. 2000). Results from modelling indicate that: (1) the post-depositional history is similar in both samples, showing that a fast heating period soon after deposition was followed by continuous cooling from maximum palaeotemperatures of 110 °C at 108–80 Ma to the present day (which is consistent with the timing of the low-grade metamorphic event of the area); and (2) a pre-Albian inherited history is poorly constrained by modelling.

Discussion

The single ZFT age component that is younger than the depositional age in sample POC-9 (top of the Urbión group) indicates that, at least in the eastern part of the basin (profile A–A'), temperatures above 300–350 °C were reached (Yamada et al. 1995; Tagami et al. 1998), probably during the low-grade metamorphic event (108–86 Ma: Casquet et al. 1992). However, the two old age populations of samples MAO-3 and MAO-4 indicate that the Oliván group sediments were only partially reset. The vertical distance between samples POC-9 and MAO-3, according to the vertical stacking model of Casas-Sainz & Gil-Imaz (1998), can be easily deduced by adding the sedimentary thickness for the Oliván and Enciso groups, and is about 2.8 km. The difference in palaeotemperature between these two samples, on the basis of the apatite and zircon fission-track data (ZFT closure temperature of 350 °C: Tagami et al. 1998) and an AFT closure temperature of 110 °C (Green et al. 1989), is estimated to be around 240 °C (ZFT ages are clearly reset in sample POC-9 but not in sample MAO-3). The palaeogeothermal gradient calculated with these data is close to 86 °C km⁻¹. Based on low-grade metamorphic parageneses, Mata et al. (2001)
Fig. 4. Thermal models and mean track-length distribution for samples MAO-3 (left) and MAO-4 (right) for the AFT age populations P1 (up) and P2 (down). The dashed lines correspond to partial annealing zone boundaries for AFT. The T–t path envelopes are plotted. The light grey area includes the acceptable paths, and the dark grey area includes the good paths. The constraints are shown as boxes (explanation is in the text).
Fig. 5. Fluorine v. AFT ages and $D_{\text{pau}}$ values for samples (a) MAO-3 (upper part of the Oliván group) and (b) MAO-4 (lower part of the Oliván group).

Fig. 6. Cross-section along B’–B (see Fig. 2 for the location and legend) (structural interpretation from Casas-Sainz & Gil-Imaz 1998, p. 812, fig. 8A) showing the location of the samples and the radial plots for AFT and AHe ages.
calculated a similarly high maximum palaeogeothermal gradient of approximately 70 °C km⁻¹ at the end of the extensional stage. Temperatures obtained in samples located within the Urbión group can be attained in the basin depocentre if we consider a tectonic model of vertical superposition of the sedimentary units with a high geothermal gradient. When considering the alternative model of lateral stacking of sedimentary units (Mas et al. 2002), the deepest part of the basin cropping out at present (the base of the Urbión group) would only be covered by a maximum sedimentary thickness of 2 km (Mata et al. 2001). This implies that a geothermal gradient of more than 150 °C km⁻¹ is necessary to explain the high temperatures reached in the Urbión group. Therefore, the sag basin model of Mas et al. (1993) and Guimerà et al. (1995) requires a geothermal gradient of at least 150 °C km⁻¹ that, on the basis of the FT and fluid inclusion data and in the absence of magmatism or a hydrothermal fluid activity, seems unrealistic (Casquet et al. 1992). Furthermore, other arguments against this hypothesis come from the source–sediment balance, because if we compare the volume of sediments deposited in the Ebro foreland basin eroded from the Sierra de Cameros it is possible to estimate that the amount of removed material from the Cameros Basin cannot be balanced with a tectonic model which proposes a maximum thickness of eroded sediments of 2 km (see e.g. Muñoz-Jiménez & Casas-Sainz 1997).

AFT data from all samples from profile A–A' and B–B' (except JS-6) show cooling ages younger than their depositional age and record the time of cooling from more than 110 °C. However, AFT age populations range from 21 to 124 Ma. The presence of several age components in samples with evidence of total resetting of the fission tracks in apatite (for example, the presence of chloritoid in the mineral paragenesis) could be explained by differences in chemical composition of the apatites, which produces different kinetic behaviour during annealing (Green et al. 1986; Ketcham et al. 1999). A study of $D_{\text{par}}$ and the chemical composition of apatites in these samples is underway to shed more light on the origin of the dispersion of the results.

The youngest age components of the AFT data from the B–B' profile (Fig. 7a) record a first cooling event at 45–55 Ma in the northern part of the Cameros Basin, close to the present-day thrust front (as seen in samples JS-6, JS-8 and JS-9). The second, more important, cooling event is recorded by samples JS-11–JS-15 (see Fig. 7) at 30–40 Ma. This coincides with an important period of tectonic activity, with a horizontal displacement
velocity of the thrusts of 0.8 and 1.25 mm year\(^{-1}\) for the central and eastern part of the basin, respectively (Muñoz-Jiménez & Casas-Sainz 1997), and so we consider that this age can constrain the age of the main pulse of tectonic inversion in the area. The AHe ages of JS-12 and JS-21 are similar to the youngest apatite fission-track age component in these samples (Fig. 7a), consistent with rapid cooling through the apatite partial annealing zone (PAZ; from 110 to 60 °C) to the AHe closure temperature (60–70 °C: Farley 2000).

The cooling rate can be determined by combining the AFT and ZFT data. For sample POC-9, in the eastern part of the Urbion group, is around...
5.4–8.9 °C Ma$^{-1}$. These rates indicate that the basin cooled rapidly between 85 and 48 Ma. The modelled thermal histories for samples MAO-3 and MAO-4 from the upper and lower parts of the Olivan group show a fast heating during the metamorphic event, up to 100 °C, of about 5–8 °C Ma$^{-1}$. A continuous regional cooling of around 0.6–1.6 °C Ma$^{-1}$ is observed from the thermal peak (c. 100 Ma) to present. This is consistent with the progressive exhumation of the Cameros Basin during the Tertiary that resulted in the accumulation of approximately 5 km of Tertiary sediments in the western part of Ebro Basin, the Rioja Trough, which represents the foreland basin of the Sierra de Cameros (Muñoz-Jiménez & Casas Sainz 1997).

Conclusions

The Sierra de Cameros was an intracratonic sedimentary basin filled by continental synrift sediments during the lower Cretaceous. During the extensional stage, the sedimentary fill attained temperatures of more than 110 °C, as demonstrated by the young AFT ages of all samples except those of the uppermost Olivan group sediments. Temperatures above 300 °C were reached in the Urbión group, as revealed by the young ZFT age of sample POc-9. This is consistent with the low-grade metamorphism observed in the central part of the basin. From an independent estimate of the palaeogeothermal gradient (86 °C km$^{-1}$), a sediment thickness of 8 km is necessary to explain these temperatures and the sediment volume found in the Ebro foreland basin. These data suggest that a model of vertical sediment accumulation is more feasible than the lateral stacking model. Alpine compression produced the tectonic inversion of the basin during the Tertiary. Combining ZFT and AFT data, we calculated that between 85 and 48 Ma rocks from the Urbión group were cooled at a rate of 5.4–8.9 °C Ma$^{-1}$. An increase in the cooling rate is identified by the coincidence of the youngest age component of AFT results and the AHe cooling ages, at about 40 Ma. This represents the time of maximum uplift and cooling, which produced the exhumation of the main part of the basin related to the Alpine orogenesis.
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Appendix

Analytical methods

Thirteen samples of sandstones were collected along two cross-sections (north–south and NE–SW) perpendicular to the strike of regional structures. Mineral separations were carried out using standard methods. In this work we use the 𝜉 approach for fission-track analyses of individual apatite and zircon crystals (Harford & Green 1983). Apatites and zircons were mounted in U-free epoxy resin and in a piece of Teflon, respectively, and polished with 1 and 3 μm diamond and 0.25 μm aluminium oxide. To reveal the spontaneous tracks, the apatite crystals were etched in 5 M HNO$_3$ for 20 ± 1 s. The zircons were prepared according to the procedure described by Naeser et al. (1987). Three replicates for each sample were etched with a low-melting-point binary eutectic of NaOH and KOH at 239 °C for a period of between 6 and 100 h to ensure all of the zircon was adequately etched.

We applied the external detector method using thin U-free muscovite sheets as detectors. We used two dosimeters at the top and bottom of the irradiation capsule to determine the flux of thermal neutrons’ irradiation; CN-5 and CN-2 for apatite and zircon, respectively. The irradiation was performed at HIFAR (Australia). The neutron fluence was 9 × 10$^{15}$ and 1 × 10$^{15}$ n cm$^{-2}$ for apatites and zircons, respectively. All of the irradiated mica sheets were etched in 5 M HF at room temperature for 40 min. For track counting and length measurements, a magnification of 1250× was used.

The best-fit binomial peak-fitting routine of Galbraith & Green (1990) was used to deconvolve the fission-track grain-age spectra in samples containing more than one age population. Calculations were performed using the Windows version of the BINOMFIT program of Brandon (1992, 1996). The procedures were based on the maximum-likelihood method, where the best-fit solution is determined by comparing the age distribution of the data to a predicted mixed binomial distribution.

Apatite grains for (U–Th)/He age determinations were hand-picked using a stereoscopic binocular microscope (220× magnification). Only grains with good crystal shape and no mineral inclusions were selected. Two crystals per sample were packed in Pt foil tubes and loaded in batches of 40 into an ultra-high vacuum extraction cell. Helium was extracted by heating the foil with an 808 nm diode laser to approximately 1000 °C. After a short clean-up step, helium was measured using a Hiden HAL3F gas source quadrupole mass spectrometer following the procedures of Foeken et al. (2006). Samples were then diluted in 5% HNO$_3$ and spiked with $^{230}$Th and $^{235}$U, and the U and Th concentrations measured using a VG PQ2.5 inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (Balestrieri et al. 2005). Ages were corrected for alpha-recoil using the procedure of Farley et al. (1996).

Apatite compositions were measured by electron microprobe (Jeol Superprobe JXA-8900-M) using operating conditions of 15 kV, 20 nA and a beam diameter of
2–5 µm, and a ZAF (atomic number (Z), absorption (A) and fluorescence (F)) correction procedure.
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